Cascase05:05-03-03-0399995-S8-SID obcouraement nt 21.3 FFileed 10011/1137/2000 6 Pag Page of 17 of 7

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	JEFFREY D. WOHL (Cal. State Bar No. 968 DANIEL E. WALDMAN (Cal. State Bar No PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALK 55 Second Street, 24th Floor San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 856-7000 Facsimile: (415) 856-7100 E-mail: jeffwohl@paulhastings.com Attorneys for Defendant International Business Machines Corporation	o. 223034) ER LLP
8	LIMITED CT	ATES DISTRICT COLIDT
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
11		
12	LOUIS I. BRAUNSTEIN,	No. C-05-03698-SI
13	Plaintiff,	STIPULATED CONFIDENTIALITY
14	vs.	AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] PROTECTIVE ORDER RE
15 16 17	INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION, a New York corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive Defendants.	CONFIDENTIALITY OF INFORMATION AND DOCUMENTS
18	Defendants.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27 28		
40		

LEGAL_US_W # 53175773.2

CONF. AGREEMENT/PROTECTIVE ORDER U.S.D.C., N.D. Cal., No. C-05-03698-SI

Plaintiff Louis I. Braunstein and defendant International Business Machines Corporation ("IBM"), through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. The parties anticipate that in the course of discovery in this action, they will be asked to produce documents protected from disclosure under various principles of law, including but not limited to article I, section 1, of the California Constitution; the California Uniform Trade Secrets Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 3426 *et seq.*, and related case law; and IBM's right to confidentiality in its business affairs.
- 2. To protect these legitimate privacy interests, the parties have agreed that the production and use of certain documents and information in this action will be subject to the following terms and conditions.
- 3. Any party involved in this action, or counsel for such party, may designate as "CONFIDENTIAL" any document, testimony, information or material disclosed, or to be disclosed by that party, through formal or informal discovery or otherwise in the course of this litigation in the manner hereinafter set forth. Counsel are permitted to designate as "CONFIDENTIAL" only those documents as to which counsel entertains a good faith belief that such document is entitled to confidentiality. Such designation shall, without more, subject the information produced or provided under said designation to the provisions of this Protective Order.
- 4. Any writing, document, or other information produced by any party or person in this litigation may be designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" by stamping the word "CONFIDENTIAL" on the face of the writing, document or other information by the producing party.
- 5. Any party to this litigation, or counsel for such party, may designate as "CONFIDENTIAL" its responses to interrogatories or to requests for admission by labeling each response "CONFIDENTIAL." Any inadvertently omitted "CONFIDENTIAL" designation may be corrected by written notification to counsel of record for the other party(ies) so long as the correction is made promptly after discovery of the omission.
- 6. Unless both parties stipulate otherwise in writing or on the record at deposition, all deposition testimony will hereby be deemed confidential and subject to this Order. Depositions designated "CONFIDENTIAL" are to be marked as such by the court reporter and are also to bear substantially the following designation: "This deposition was taken subject to the Protective Order of

the Court. This deposition shall remain confidential and shall be treated according to the requirements of the Court's Order." Whenever any writing designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" is identified as an exhibit in connection with testimony given in this litigation, it shall be so marked and it shall be subject to all of the requirements of the Court's Order.

- 7. "CONFIDENTIAL" material includes all material designated "CONFIDENTIAL" pursuant to the terms of this Order, as well as summaries and compilations derived from such materials, including but not limited to charts, tables, graphs and models.
- 8. Except upon prior written consent of the party or counsel for the party asserting "CONFIDENTIAL" or upon further order of this Court, documents, testimony, information or material designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" shall be held in strictest confidence, shall be kept securely, and shall be used solely for the purpose of prosecution or defense of this litigation and not for any business or other purpose whatsoever. Documents, testimony, information or material designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" shall be disclosed only to those persons identified in ¶ 9 below. No party including any individuals mentioned in ¶ 9(a) shall, for itself or any other person or persons acting on its behalf, make more copies of any "CONFIDENTIAL" information or material than are reasonably necessary to conduct this litigation.
- 9. Access to "CONFIDENTIAL" documents, testimony, information or materials, as well as written or oral summaries or accounts thereof, shall be limited to:
- a. Counsel for the respective parties (including in-house counsel and co-counsel) and employees or other clerical assistants of said counsel who are assisting in the prosecution or defense of this litigation, including the following copy service: Williams Lea; provided, however, that counsel using an outside copy service is responsible for ensuring that the service does not violate the terms of this Protective Order;
- b. Experts and consultants (including independent experts and consultants and employees or clerical assistants of said experts) who are employed, retained or otherwise consulted by counsel or a party for the purpose of analyzing data, conducting studies or providing opinions to assist in such litigation;

- 2
- 3
- 4 5
- 6
- 8 9
- 10
- 11 12
- 13 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23 24
- 25
- 27

26

28

- Officers, Directors, or employees of any of the Parties to this lawsuit who are c. assisting counsel in the prosecution or defense of this lawsuit;
 - d. Parties to the litigation;
 - The Court and officers of the Court; and e.
- f. Any other individual or entity as to whom counsel for the producing party and counsel for the party seeking to disclose the documents(s) identified above agree in writing.
- 10. Documents, testimony, information or materials marked "CONFIDENTIAL" shall not be disclosed by any party to an expert or consultant, unless and until such person has signed and returned to counsel for that party the written acknowledgment in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A. The party disclosing any "CONFIDENTIAL" material pursuant to this paragraph shall be required to maintain such written acknowledgments, but shall not be required to disclose the identities of persons having reviewed the "CONFIDENTIAL" material.
- 11. If any party, or such party's counsel, objects to the designation of any document, testimony, information or material as "CONFIDENTIAL" the parties shall meet and confer in an effort to resolve any such dispute. If the parties are unable to resolve such dispute, the objecting party may bring a motion to have the contested information declared non-"CONFIDENTIAL." Unless and until an order is entered to the contrary, the documents, testimony, information or material shall be given the "CONFIDENTIAL" treatment initially assigned to it and provided for in this Protective Order.
- information 12. Whenever any writing. testimony, material "CONFIDENTIAL" is used or submitted to the court in conjunction with any filing or proceeding in this litigation, it shall be so marked and shall be filed separately pursuant to Local Rule 79-5.
- 13. Upon termination of this litigation, all originals and copies of "CONFIDENTIAL" documents, testimony, information or material (and all summaries thereof) shall be returned to counsel for the producing party without a written request unless otherwise ordered by the Court for good cause shown.
- 14. The foregoing is without prejudice to the right of any party to apply to the Court for (a) a further protective order relating to any writing whether or not it is identified above; (b) an order amending or modifying this Protective Order; (c) an order protecting against other discovery, or other

Casca3e05:05-03602366938-SIDobouromentrit21.3 FFileed10011/1137/20006PagRea5jeo57of7

1 use of the documents identified above; or (d) an order permitting further production, discovery, 2 disclosure or use of any documents, including those identified above. 3 15. Nothing in this Protective Order shall be deemed to be a waiver of (a) any party's right to 4 object to any discovery requests on any ground; (b) any party's right to seek an order compelling 5 discovery with respect to any discovery requests; (c) any party's right to object to the admission of any 6 evidence on any grounds in any proceeding herein. 7 16. In the event the Court does not approve this Protective Order, the parties agree to enter 8 into a revised Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and [Proposed] Protective Order consistent with the 9 purpose of this Protective Order, subject to any modifications required by the Court or by applicable 10 law, and to remain bound by the terms of this Stipulation pending approval by the Court of the revised 11 Protective Order. Once approved by the Court, this (or the revised) Protective Order shall remain in full 12 force and effect until modified, superseded or terminated by further order of this Court, and shall survive 13 the termination of this lawsuit. 17. All persons who are granted access to "CONFIDENTIAL" documents, including any 14 15 party to this action, their attorneys, or others who acknowledge their consent to be bound by this 16 protective order but fail to comply with its provisions may be held in contempt for violation of this 17 Court's order. Dated: January _____, 2006. MARK E. ELLIS 18 ROBERT W. LUCAS MURPHY, PEARSON, BRADLEY & FEENEY 19 PAUL NICHOLAS BOYLAN 20 21 By: /s/ Robert W. Lucas 22 Attorneys for Plaintiff Louis I. Braunstein 23 Dated: January _____, 2006. JEFFREY D. WOHL DANIEL E. WALDMAN 24 PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP 25 By: _/s/___ 26 Jeffrey D. Wohl Attorneys for Defendant 27 **International Business Machines Corporation** 28 -4-CONF. AGREEMENT/PROTECTIVE ORDER U.S.D.C., N.D. Cal., No. C-05-03698-SI

Cascasco 5:05-0960996988-SIDobournemetrit 213 FFileed 0011/113/2000 6 Pagrea 9 co 67 of 7

ORDER On the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, IT IS ORDERED that the parties' stipulation is adopted as the order of the Court. Dated: ______, 2005 Susan Ilston GRANTED

Cascase05:05-0960986588-SIDobournemental213 FFileed10011/113720006PagFeage077of7

1	1 EXHIBIT A		
2	I have read the Protective Order ("Order") entered by the Court on in Louis		
3	I. Braunstein v. International Business Machines Corporation, U.S.D.C., N.D. Cal., No. 05-03698-SI. I		
4	understand the responsibilities and obligations the Order imposes on persons viewing the material		
5	encompassed by the Order. I agree to be bound by all of the provisions of the Order, so as to enable me		
6	to view the material encompassed by the Order.		
7			
8	Dated:		
9	By: Signature		
10	By:		
11	Printed Name		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26 27			
28			
۷٥			